

Business Process Audit Jackson Middle School Report Reference Number: 24-13081

February 2024

Prepared by Office of Auditor General

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Background, Scope and Objectives, and Methodology	1
Background	1
Scope and Objectives	1
Methodology	2
Sample Selection	2
Audit Findings, Recommendations, and Management's Responses	3
Finding 1 – Timely Payment	4
Finding 2 – Bank Reconciliation	4

Executive Summary

This audit report summarizes the results of the Office of Auditor General's (OAG) Business Process Audit (BPA) at Luther Jackson Middle School (MS) conducted on February 1, 2024. The audit was performed in accordance with the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 audit plan approved by the Fairfax County School Board (School Board). The primary objective of the audit was to determine the adequacy of controls and processes in place for managing local school activity funds and appropriated funds during FY 2024. There were two low-risk findings identified during the audit.

We appreciate the consultation, cooperation, and courtesies extended to our staff by the finance technician and principal at Luther Jackson MS.

Background, Scope and Objectives, and Methodology

Background

All Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) departments and schools are responsible for business processes that support their core mission. These processes include procuring goods and services needed to meet their objectives and processing cash receipts. For departments, most of these processes are limited to appropriated fund transactions. However, in a school, there are both appropriated fund transactions and non-appropriated fund, or Local School Activity Fund (LSAF), transactions.

These audits will be performed on an ad hoc basis depending on (1) changes in management/staff, (2) the results of the continuous audit process, or (3) situations as deemed necessary. The potential risks are (1) job duties not performed in accordance with required policies, procedures, and guidance; and (2) questionable transactions not timely identified. The primary regulations include, but are not limited to:

- Regulation 5111 Financial Management Reports (FMR)
- Notice 5111 Financial Management Report (FMR) Distribution and Reconciliation Schedule
- Regulation 5810 School Activity Funds Management
- Regulation 5350 Procurement Card Management
- Regulation 5012 Purchasing Goods and Services Using Appropriated and Nonappropriated Funds

Scope and Objectives

We conducted this audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. The audit covered FY 2024 financial activity. The audit's objectives were to:

- Evaluate the effectiveness of processes and compliance with applicable regulations and policies,
- Determine if internal controls are adequate and functioning as intended, and
- Determine if transactions are reasonable and do not appear to be fraudulent.

OAG is free from organizational impairments to independence in our reporting as defined by government auditing standards. The office reports directly to the School Board through the Audit Committee. We report the results of our audits to the Audit Committee and the reports are made available to the public via the FCPS website.

Methodology

OAG's audit approach assessed the school's current management of internal controls covering LSAF and appropriated funds. The structure of this audit was designed to assist principals and finance technicians (FT) in understanding the question "where are we now?" and what processes and controls must be in place to ensure compliance with FCPS regulations and best practice guidelines moving forward. The audit examined monthly expenditures, records, and statements; reviewed monthly reconciliations; conducted interviews with appropriate employees; with the goal of understanding the school's current standing moving forward. Information was extracted from PaymentNet, Great Plains, and Fairfax County Unified System (FOCUS) for sampling and verification to source documentation during the audit; however, our audit did not include an independent review of system controls.

To satisfy the audit objectives, OAG performed the following:

- Met with school-based staff.
- Reviewed relevant laws, rules, FCPS policies and regulations.
- Reviewed prior audits and reviews.
- Performed a test of transactions, on a sampling basis, to ensure expenditures are accurate and allowable, complied with FCPS requirements, and proper supporting documentation is maintained.

Sample Selection

<u>Procurement Card, Cash Disbursement, and Cash Receipt Transactions</u>
OAG utilized ACL Analytics, a data analytical software by Diligent, to randomly select samples, as follows:

- Ten appropriated procurement card transactions,
- Ten non-appropriated procurement card transactions,
- Ten cash disbursement transactions,
- Five voided cash disbursement transactions
- One cash receipt transaction, and
- Ten voided cash receipt transactions.

Bank and Procurement Card Reconciliations and Asset Security Procedures

OAG reviews current reconciliations and assets, as follows:

- Most current month FMR reconciliation.
- Three most current months of bank reconciliations.
- Three most current months of appropriated procurement card reconciliations for up to two procurement cards,
- Three most current months non-appropriated procurement card reconciliations for up to two procurement cards, and
- Physical assets such as safes, drop boxes, procurement cards, and check stock.

Transaction samples are pulled from the available population and can result in less transactions being tested than what is stated above.

Audit Findings, Recommendations, and Management's Responses

Finding(s) within this report are attributed a risk rating in accordance with established risk criteria as defined in Table 1.

Table 1 – Risk Criteria

Туре	Description				
High	One or more of the following exists:				
	 Controls are not in place or are inadequate. 				
	 Compliance with legislation and regulations or 				
	contractual obligations is inadequate.				
	 Important issues are identified that could negatively 				
	impact the achievement of program/operational				
	objectives.				
Moderate	One or more of the following exists:				
	Controls are in place but are not sufficiently complied				
	with.				
	Compliance with subject government regulations or				
	FCPS policies and established procedures is				
	inadequate, or FCPS policies and established				
	procedures are inadequate.				
	Issues are identified that could negatively impact the				
	efficiency and effectiveness of operations.				
Low	One or more of the following exists:				
	 Controls are in place, but the level of compliance varies. 				
	 Compliance with government regulations or FCPS 				
	policies and established procedures varies.				
	 Issues identified are less significant, but opportunities 				
	exist that could enhance operations.				

All completed Business Process Audits with findings in which the risk ratings are deemed moderate or high will require a management response. During this audit, OAG identified two low risk findings:

Finding 1 – Timely Payment

Risk Rating - Low

Condition:

One payment to Walsworth Publishing Company was not paid timely, totaling \$8,408.65:

Item	Payee Name	Payment Due Date	Actual Date Paid	Invoice Amount
1	Walsworth Publishing Company	06/1/2023	10/5/2023	\$8,408.65

The related invoice was unpaid from the previous fiscal year, while the previous FT was still with the school. Upon the arrival of the current FT, a new purchase order was generated to settle the outstanding invoice. No late fees were processed.

Criteria:

Regulation 5810 *School Activity Funds Management* states, "Disbursements must be processed in a timely manner to avoid late fees and take advantage of discounts."

Recommendation:

OAG recommends that obligations should be paid promptly to avoid penalty fees.

Management Response (Not Required):

A management response is not required for this finding due to the low-risk rating.

Finding 2 - Bank Reconciliation

Risk Rating - Low

Condition:

Monthly bank reconciliation reports were not signed and dated to evidence approval and timely completion, as follows:

 October 2023 Bank of America bank statement was not signed and dated by the finance technician and principal as of February 1, 2024.

OAG would like to note that the reconciliation for that month was signed and dated for completion.

Criteria:

The FCPS *School Finance Handbook* states, "The finance technician (FT)/administrative assistant (AA) will perform bank reconciliations in a timely manner. The principal is responsible for the safeguarding, managing, and accounting of school activity funds." This includes ensuring that "monthly bank reconciliations are completed on a timely basis." The School Finance Handbook also states, "The Principal must sign and date the actual bank statement." Regulation 5910 *Monetary Receipts* states, "All reconciliations must be reviewed and signed by the program manager." In addition, the *FT/AA's Month-End Financial Checklist - LSAF*, a resource guide prepared by Financial Services, states, "The actual bank statement must be signed and dated by the Principal and the FT/AA."

Effect:

Reconciliations reduce the risk of undetected errors, increase the timeliness of corrections, and provide a confirmation of funds available to be spent for the benefit of students.

Recommendation:

OAG recommends that the principal and finance technician sign and date the bank statement to show evidence of timely completion and approval.

Management Response (Not Required):

A management response is not required for this finding due to the low-risk rating.