July 16, 2019

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Exceptional Children Directors/Coordinators

Public School Units

FRO

Sherry H. Thomas, Director

Exceptional Children Division

SUBJECT:

Warning Lists for Significant Disproportionality

The December 19, 2016, Equity in IDEA regulation on significant disproportionality requires the examination of data to determine if significant disproportionality based on race and ethnicity is occurring as described under §300.646(a)(1)(2)(3). The determination must be made with respect to 1) the identification of students with disabilities, including specific disability categories; 2) the placement of students with disabilities in particular educational settings; and 3) the incidence, duration and type of disciplinary actions.

Public School Units (PSUs) were notified in a recent memorandum of those identified as having significant disproportionality in each of the three areas examined and the requirement to reserve federal funds to provide comprehensive coordinated early intervening services to serve children in the identified PSUs. The memorandum also indicated that notification of PSUs receiving a warning (the potential to have significant disproportionality in future years), in any of the three areas examined, would be sent separately.

Enclosed are: 1) the lists of PSUs that are receiving a warning for the potential to have significant disproportionality in future years, in any of the three areas examined; and 2) the process steps/criteria used for making determinations regarding significant disproportionality (identification, placement and discipline). PSUs without significant disproportionality, may choose to use an amount up to 15 percent of the total PSU IDEA federal funds for 2019-20 (611 funds and 619 funds) to provide comprehensive coordinated early intervening services. If a PSU chooses to provide CEIS, the amount elected will be reallocated from PRC 60 to PRC 70 and an implementation plan must be included in the PSU's IDEA Part B (611) Grant application for FY 2019-20 (see June 17, 2019 memo from Sherry Thomas regarding coordinated early intervening services permissive use).

In accordance with §300.226(a), while PSUs may elect to provide CEIS to children K-12 who are not currently identified as needing special education or related services, but who need additional academic and behavioral support to succeed in a general education environment, the regulations strongly encourage these services to be provided to children K-3. PSUs that choose to provide CEIS must maintain documentation of the number of children who receive CEIS during SY 2019-20 and subsequently receive special education and related services in SY 2019-20, 2020-21 or 2021-22.

In our collaborative effort to address the potential to have significant disproportionality in future years, the Division supports your efforts to address the academic and behavioral needs of at-risk students. For questions about warning list determinations please contact Nancy Johnson at ntjohnso@uncc.edu or 704-576-2760 or Matt Hoskins at matt.hoskins@dpi.nc.gov or 919-807-4145. For questions about CEIS and permissive use of funds, please contact Amanda Byrd at amanda.byrd@dpi.nc.gov or 919-807-3948 or your regional coordinator.

SHT/NTJ:kb

Enclosures

c Alexis Schauss
Matt Hoskins
Amanda Byrd
Nancy Johnson
Regional Coordinators

EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN DIVISION

North Carolina's Significant Disproportionality Determination Process (Identification) April 2019

Identification: Data are analyzed by seven (7) race/ethnicity categories for an LEA's overall population of children with disabilities (special education) and in specific disability categories, including autism; intellectual disability; other health impairment; serious emotional disability; specific learning disability; and speech-language impairment.

Definition of Disproportionate Representation in Identification: ≥ 3.0 risk ratio or alternate risk ratio in special education and six (6) specific disability categories.

Process:

Step 1: Determination of Cell and "N" Sizes

Step 1a: Determine an LEA's cell size \geq 10 for a given race/ethnicity for the LEA's overall children with disabilities (special education) population and each of the six disability categories identified above.

For an LEA with a cell size \geq 10 go to step 1b.

For an LEA with a cell size < 10 stop.

Step 1b: Determine an LEA's "N" size \geq 30 for a given race/ethnicity for the overall enrollment population. For an LEA with an "N" size \geq 30 go to step 2 and use the risk ratio calculation to determine if an LEA has disproportionate representation. For an LEA with an "N" size of < 30 or a cell size of < 10 in the comparison group go to step 2 and use the alternate risk ratio calculation.

Step 2: Determination of Disproportionate Representation

Determine if an LEA has disproportionate representation for the current year, using either the risk ratio calculation or alternate risk ratio calculation (see step 1b above).

An LEA with \geq 3.0 risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has disproportionate representation for the current year. If an LEA has disproportionate representation for the current year, go to Step 3. If not, stop; an LEA does not have disproportionate representation and, thus, does not have significant disproportionality nor does it receive a warning.

Step 3: Determination of Disproportionate Representation in Consecutive Years

Determine if an LEA has had disproportionate representation for three (3) consecutive years. If an LEA has had disproportionate representation for 3 consecutive years, go to Step 4. If not, stop; an LEA receives a warning for the current year and is in danger of having significant disproportionality in future years.

Step 4: Determination of Reasonable Progress Made

Determine if an LEA's current risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has decreased, remained the same or increased in each of the two prior consecutive years to determine if the LEA has made reasonable progress.

If an LEA's current risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has decreased, remained the same or increased by a \leq 0.2 percentage point in each of the two prior consecutive years and the LEA now has a risk ratio or alternate risk ratio \leq 5.0, the LEA has made reasonable progress and receives a warning for the current year and is in danger of having significant disproportionality in future years.

If an LEA's risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has increased in each of the two prior consecutive years by a > 0.2 percentage point and/or the LEA now has a risk ratio or alternate risk ratio > 5.0, the LEA is determined to have significant disproportionality for the current year.

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Identification

					All Dis	All Disabilities				
	Step 1 (Cell size)	ell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 4 - years w/ year a	Was Reaso disproporti and yes for	nable Progress onate represer RR < 0.2 ↑ from	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive years w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	consecutive 5.0 in current us 2 years)	
LEA	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	2018- 19 RR ≥3.0	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2017-18 RR	2016-17 RR	2018-19 RR ≤ 5.0	2018-19 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2017-18 RR	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	Status
Anderson Creek Club	20/23	×	3.47	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
Charlotte Choice	11/12	В	3.40	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8
Raleigh Charter High	20/21	8	12.73	No (Yr1)	NA	N A	NA NA	NA	N A	W
Rockingham County Schools	12/1726	<u>A</u>	3.24	No (Yr1)	NA	N A	NA	NA	NA	W
Union Day School	26/29	K	4.51	No (Yr1)	NA	N A	NA	NA	NA	W
Weldon City Schools	15/111	W	5.01	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	W

Disp Rep - disproportionate representation W - Warning RR - risk ratio

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native A – Asian B – Black or African American H – Hispanic Latino

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Identification

					Emotiona	Emotional Disability				
	Step 1 (Cell size)	`ell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 4 - V years w/o current y years)	Vas Reason disproportion ear and yes	able Progres onate repres for RR < 0.2	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive years w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	s consecutive ≤ 5.0 in previous 2	Status
LEA	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	2018- 19 RR ≥3.0	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2017-18 RR	2016-17 RR	2018-19 RR ≤ 5.0	2018-19 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2017-18 RR	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	
Asheville City Schools	25/47	В	4.57	No (Yr2)	3.92	NA	NA	No	NA	8
Chapel Hill- Carrboro Schools	16/48	B.	4.01	No (Yr2)	5.59	NA	NA	Yes	NA	8
Craven County Schools	36/61	В	3.77	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	N _A	NA	NA	8
Franklin County Schools	22/33	D	4.54	No (Yr2)	3.86	NA	N _A	No	NA	*
Johnston County Schools	59/122	В	4.98	No (Yr2)	4.95	NA	NA	Yes	NA	8
Pender County Schools	16/27	В	9.19	No (Yr2)	4.48	NA	NA	No	NA	¥
Richmond County Schools	11/16	В	4.05	No (Yr2)	5.95	N A	NA A	Yes	NA	8
Roanoke Rapids City Schools	16/19	W	3.63	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	N _A	NA	N _A	8
Public Schools of Robeson County	18/30	В	5.02	No (Yr2)	3.83	N N	NA	No	N _A	8
Scotland County Schools	15/19	В	4.51	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8
Union County Schools	21/65	В	3.41	No (Yr2)	3.76	NA	N _A	Yes	NA	K
Wake County Schools	398/743	В	3.95	No (Yr2)	4.10	NA	NA	Yes	Ŋ	٤

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native A – Asian B – Black or African American

H – Hispanic Latino

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Identification

					Intellectual Disability-Mild	sability-Mild				
	Step 1 (Cell size)	ell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 4 - Was w/disproporti	Reasonable Pr onate represen or RR < 0.2 ↑ fr	ogress Made tation, yes i om each of I	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive years w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	ecutive years nt year and yes s)	
	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	2018- 19 RR ≥ 3.0	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2017-18 RR	2016-17 RR	2018-19 RR ≤ 5.0	2018-19 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2017-18 RR	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	Status
LEA										
Anson County Schools	40/49	B	3.41	Yes	3.63	3.54	Yes	Yes	Yes	W
Ashe County Schools	27/28	W	4.73	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	Š	N _A	NA	W
Asheboro City Schools	12/36	В	3.01	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	¥
Asheville City Schools	20/30	B	8.03	No (Yr2)	8.27	NA	NA	Yes	NA	¥
Beaufort County Schools	37/58	В	3.75	No (Yr2)	3.16	NA	NA	No	NA	*
Caswell County Schools	17/24		4.14	No (Yr2)	4.17	NA	NA	Yes	NA	¥
Chapel Hill – Carrboro City Schools	11/26	В	5.88	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	Ŋ	N A	NA A	W
Charlotte – Mecklenburg Schools	471/726	B	3,07	No (Yr2)	3.23	NA	NA	Yes	NA	*
Craven County Schools	43/78	B	3.22	No (Yr2)	3.66	NA	NA	Yes	NA	×
Durham Public Schools	140/197	В	3.15	No (Yr2)	3.47	NA	NA	Yes	NA	¥

	Yes	Yes	3.70	3.08	Yes	3.12	В	57/79	Schools Schools
	Yes	NA	NA	3.60	No (Yr2)	3.49	œ	221/229	Pitt County Schools
	Yes	NA	NA	4.54	No (Yr2)	3.31	В	30/48	Person County Schools
	No	NA	NA	4.48	No (Yr2)	5.06	В	24/54	Pender County Schools
	NA	NA	NA	N _A	No (Yr1)	4.25	В	10/24	Orange County Schools
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	No	NA.	NA	3.16	No (Yr2)	3.61	В	50/112	Onslow County Schools
	NA	NA	NA	N A	No (Yr1)	4.12	В	11/30	Newton – Conover City Schools
	NA	N A	NA	N A	No (Yr1)	3.76	B	41/85	New Hanover County Schools
	No	NA	NA	4.70	No (Yr2)	7.05	В	36/41	Martin County Schools
	NA	NA	NA	NA	No (Yr1)	3.02	В	21/127	Lincoln County Schools
	No	NA	NA	4.32	No (Yr2)	4.60	В	25/46	Lee County Schools
	No	NA	NA	4.04	No (Yr2)	4.33	В	45/108	Iredell – Statesville Schools
	No	NA	NA	5.20	No (Yr2)	6.35	В	28/46	Hickory City Schools
	Yes	NA	NA	4.08	No (Yr2)	3.81	В	60/109	Harnett County Schools
	NA	NA	NA	N _A	No (Yr1)	3.01	B	310/460	Guilford County Schools
	Yes	Yes	3.83	3.86	Yes (Yr3)	3.01	В	49/86	Franklin County Schools
	Yes	NA	NA	24.33	No (Yr2)	23.73	В	19/20	Edenton – Chowan Schools

Yes	Yes	Yes	5.26	4.73	Yes	4.13	D	109/142	Wilson County Schools
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No (Yr1)	5.81	Œ	19/20	Washington County Schools
Yes	Yes	Yes	3.60	3.79	Yes	3.69	В	240/463	Wake County Schools
N _A	Yes	NA	NA	4.26	No (Yr2)	3.53	В	48/145	Union County Schools

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native A – Asian B – Black or African American H – Hispanic Latino

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List - Identification

			Other Hea		Other Hea	Other Health Impaired	8			
	Step 1 (Cell size)	Cell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 4 - years w/ year a	Was Reaso disproporti and yes for	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress years w/disproportionate represen year and yes for RR < 0.2 1 from	ep 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive ars w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	consecutive 5.0 in current us 2 years)	
	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	2018- 19 RR ≥3.0	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2017-18 RR	2016-17 RR	2018-19 RR ≤ 5.0	2018-19 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2017-18 RR	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	Status
LEA										
Charlotte Secondary School	12/24	W	3.11	No (Yr2)	3.21	NA	NA	Yes	NA	M
Clay County Schools	27/28	W	3.53	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	M
Envision Science Academy	18/20	W	4.23	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
Gate City Charter Academy	17/19	В	3.01	No (۲۲ユ)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
KIPP Durham College Preparation	12/13	В	15.89	No (Yr1)	N _A	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
Lincoln Charter	27/28	W	6.17	No (Yr1)	A	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
New Hanover County Schools	289/693	В	3.18	No (Yr2)	3.21	NA	NA	Yes	NA	¥
Pamlico County Schools	23/50	В	3.45	No (Yr2)	4.06	NA	NA	Yes	NA	¥
Phoenix Academy	10/18	В	3.45	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	¥
Piedmont Community Charter	38/45	8	3.24	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8

W 4.21 W 5.21		No (Yr1)	No (Yr1) NA		N N	NA NA	NA NA NA
------------------	--	----------	-------------	--	-----	-------	----------

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native A – Asian B – Black or African American H – Hispanic Latino

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List - Identification

				S	Specific Learning Disability	ning Disabi	lfy .			
	Step 1 (Cell size)	ell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 4 - years w/ year a	ep 4 - Was Reasonabl ars w/disproportionat year and yes for RR <	nable Progress onate represer RR < 0.2 ↑ from	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive years w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	consecutive 5.0 in current us 2 years)	
F. 2	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	2018- 19 RR ≥ 3.0	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2017-18 RR	2016-17 RR	2018-19 RR ≤ 5.0	2018-19 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2017-18 RR	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	Status
Chapel Hill – Carrboro Schools	217/514		3.62	No (Yr2)	3.67	NA	NA	Yes	NA	W
Neuse Charter	11/36	-	3.69	No (Yr1)	N A	NA	NA	Z	NA	\{
Reaching All Minds	11/13	_	3.04	No (Yr2)	3.51	NA	AN	Yes	NA	*
Washington Montessori	32/33	8	4.89	No (Yr2)	4.93	NA	NA	Yes	NA	\(\)

Disp Rep - disproportionate representation W - Warning RR - risk ratio

AI – American Indian/Alaskan Native

B – Black or African American

H – Hispanic Latino

H/PI — Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders W — White

Two or more – two or more races

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Identification

	Step 1 (Cell size)	Cell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp	eech-Langu Step 4 - years w/ year w/		Was Reasc disproporti	Speech-Language Impairment Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress years w/disproportionate represer year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from	age Impairment Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous	h-Language Impairment Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive rears w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)
LEA	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	w/Disp Rep) 2018- 19 RR ≥ 3.0	Rep) 3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	year a	2 10	d yes for 2016-17 RR	9 yes for RR < 0.2 T from 2016-17 2018-19 RR RR ≤ 5.0	9 yes for RR < 0.2 T from each of previous 2016-17 2018-19 RR	yes for RR < 0.2 T from each of previous 2 years) 2016-17
Anderson Creek Club	12/12	8	6.11	No (Yr1)	NA		N A	NA		NA
Pamlico County Schools	18/21	8	3.59	No (Yr1)	N _A		NA	NA NA		NA
Washington County Schools	18/19	œ	5.50	No (Yr1)	NA	N A	D	A		NA

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native

A – Asian B – Black or African American H – Hispanic Latino

H/PI – Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders W – White

Two or more – two or more races

North Carolina's Significant Disproportionality Determination Process (Placement) April 2019

Placement: Data are analyzed by seven (7) race/ethnicity categories for children with disabilities, ages 6 -21, for placement options: regular class < 40% of day and separate environments.

Definition of Disproportionate Representation in Placement: ≥ 3.0 risk ratio or alternate risk ratio for placement options: regular class < 40% of day and separate environments.

Process:

Step 1: Determination of Cell and "N" Sizes

Step 1a: Determine an LEA's cell size \geq 10 for a given race/ethnicity for the placement option being considered. For an LEA with a cell size \geq 10 go to step 1b.

For an LEA with a cell size < 10 stop.

Step 1b: Determine an LEA's "N" size \geq 30 for a given race/ethnicity for the overall population of students with IEPs. For an LEA with an "N" size \geq 30, go to step 2 and use the risk ratio calculation to determine if an LEA has disproportionate representation. For an LEA with an "N" size < 30 or a cell size of < 10 in the comparison group go to step 2 and use the alternate risk ratio calculation.

Step 2: Determination of Disproportionate Representation

Determine if an LEA has disproportionate representation for the current year, using either the risk ratio calculation or alternate risk ratio calculation (see step 1b above).

An LEA with \geq 3.0 risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has disproportionate representation for the current year. If an LEA has disproportionate representation for the current year, go to Step 3. If not, stop; an LEA does not have disproportionate representation and, thus, does not have significant disproportionality nor does it receive a warning.

Step 3: Determination of Disproportionate Representation in Consecutive Years

Determine if an LEA has had disproportionate representation for three (3) consecutive years. If an LEA has had disproportionate representation for 3 consecutive years, go to Step 4. If not, stop; an LEA receives a warning for the current year and is in danger of having significant disproportionality in future years.

Step 4: Determination of Reasonable Progress

Determine if an LEA's current risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has decreased, remained the same or increased in each of the two prior consecutive years to determine if the LEA has made reasonable progress.

If an LEA's current risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has decreased, remained the same or increased by a \leq 0.2 percentage point in each of the two prior consecutive years and the LEA now has a risk ratio or alternate risk ratio \leq 5.0, the LEA has made reasonable progress and receives a warning for the current year and is in danger of having significant disproportionality in future years.

If an LEA's risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has increased in each of the two prior consecutive years by a > 0.2 percentage point and/or the LEA now has a risk ratio or alternate risk ratio > 5.0, the LEA is determined to have significant disproportionality for the current year.

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Placement

Voyager Academy	Perquimans County Schools		LEA	
11/12	14/20	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Step 1 (Cell size)	
×	В	Race/ Ethnicity	'ell size)	
6.96	3.99	2018- 19 RR ≥3.0	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Separa
No (Yr1)	No (Yr1)	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Separate Class/Regular Education 39% or less
N A	NA	2017-18 RR	Step 4 - years w/ year a	ular Educat
NA.	NA	2016-17 RR	Step 4 - Was Reasonab years w/disproportiona year and yes for RR <	ion 39% or
Z A	NA	2018-19 RR ≤ 5.0	nable Progress onate represen RR < 0.2 1 from	less – All Disabilities
NA	NA	2018-19 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2017-18 RR	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive rears w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	ilities
NA	NA	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	consecutive 5.0 in current us 2 years)	
٤	W			

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native A – Asian

B – Black or African American H – Hispanic Latino

H/PI-Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders W-White

Two or more – two or more races

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Placement

				Separat	Separate Environment – All Disabilities	ent – All Di	sabilities			
I EA	Step 1 (Cell size)	Cell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 4 - years w/ year a	ep 4 - Was Reasonabl ars w/disproportionat year and yes for RR <	nable Progress onate represer RR < 0.2 ↑ from	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive years w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	consecutive 5.0 in current us 2 years)	
į	Dec1 2018 Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	2018- 19 RR ≥3.0	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2017-18 RR	2016-17 RR	2018-19 RR ≤ 5.0	2018-19 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2017-18 RR	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	Status
Alamance – Burlington Schools	39/56	W	3.33	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8
Asheville City Schools	19/28	W	3.30	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	٤
Beaufort County Schools	16/20	×	4.86	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8
Cumberland County Schools	14/25	W	3.46	No (Yr2)	4.39	N _A	NA	Yes	NA	8
Lexington City Schools	26/38	¥	3.49	No (Yr2)	6.73	NA	NA	Yes	NA	8
Nash – Rocky Mount Schools	21/38	\$	3.63	No (Yr2)	3.62	NA	NA	Yes	NA	W
Wilkes County Schools	20/21	\$	5.64	No (Yr.1)	NA	N A	Ā	Š	Ā	٤

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native A – Asian

B – Black or African American H – Hispanic Latino

North Carolina's Significant Disproportionality Determination Process (Discipline) April 2019

Discipline: To determine if an LEA has significant disproportionality regarding discipline of students with disabilities, data are analyzed by seven (7) race/ethnicity categories for five (5) different disciplinary actions. Data analyzed include: 1) > 10-day out-of-school suspensions/expulsions; 2) > 10-day in-school suspensions; 3) 1 - 10 day out-of-school suspensions; 4) 1 - 10 day in-school suspensions; and 5) total disciplinary removals.

Definition of Significant Discrepancy in Discipline: ≥ 3.0 risk ratio or alternate risk ratio for five (5) disciplinary actions identified.

Process:

Step 1: Determination of Cell and "N" Sizes

Step 1a: Determine an LEA's cell size of \geq 10 for the type of disciplinary action.

For an LEA with a cell size \geq 10 go to step 1b.

For an LEA with a cell size < 10 stop.

Step 1b: Determine an LEA's "N" size \geq 30 for a given race/ethnicity for the overall population of students with IEPs. For an LEA with an "N" size \geq 30, go to step 2 and use the risk ratio calculation to determine if an LEA has disproportionate representation. For an LEA with an "N" size < 30 or a cell size < 10 in the comparison group go to step 2 and use the alternate risk ratio calculation.

Step 2: Step 2: Determination of Disproportionate Representation

Determine if an LEA has disproportionate representation in a disciplinary action for the current year, using either the risk ratio calculation or alternate risk ratio calculation (see step 1b above).

An LEA with \geq 3.0 risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has disproportionate representation for the current year. If an LEA has disproportionate representation for the current year, go to Step 3. If not, stop; an LEA does not have disproportionate representation and, thus, does not have significant disproportionality nor does it receive a warning.

Step 3: Determination of Disproportionate Representation in Consecutive Years

Determine if an LEA has had disproportionate representation in a disciplinary action for three (3) consecutive years. If an LEA has had disproportionate representation in a disciplinary action for 3 consecutive years, go to Step 4. If not, stop; an LEA receives a warning for the current year and is in danger of having significant disproportionality in future years.

Step 4: Determination of Reasonable Progress

Determine if an LEA's current risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has decreased, remained the same or increased in each of the two prior consecutive years to determine if the LEA has made reasonable progress.

If an LEA's current risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has decreased, remained the same or increased by a \leq 0.2 percentage point in each of the two prior consecutive years and the LEA now has a risk ratio or alternate risk ratio \leq 5.0, the LEA has made reasonable progress and receives a warning for the current year and is in danger of having significant disproportionality in future years.

If an LEA's risk ratio or alternate risk ratio has increased in each of the two prior consecutive years by a > 0.2 percentage point and/or the LEA now has a risk ratio or alternate risk ratio > 5.0, the LEA is determined to have significant disproportionality for the current year.

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Discipline (Data years are on a one-year lag)

					Total R	Total Removals				
п >	Step 1 (Cell size)	ell size)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp Rep)	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 4 - V years w/o year and	Vas Reason disproportic	able Progress N onate represen: < 0.2 ↑ from ead	Step 4 - Was Reasonable Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive years w/disproportionate representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current year and yes for RR < 0.2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)	onsecutive 5.0 in current years)	
5	2017 - 18 Removals Ages 6-21 (Cell ≥ 10)	Race/ Ethnicity	2017- 18 RR ≥3.0	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2016-17 RR	2015-16 RR	2017-18 RR ≤ 5.0	2017-18 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	2016-17 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2015-16 RR	Status
Anson County Schools	355/409	В	3.10	Yes	3.65	4.92	Yes	Yes	Yes	¥
Camden	21/60	В	3.28	No (Yr1)	NΑ	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
County Schools	11/60	Two or more	4.41	No (Yr1)	NA	NA .	NA NA	N _A	NA	€
Charlotte – Mecklenburg Schools	4975/6456		3.82	Yes	4.59	4.58	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Greene County Schools	124/168	В	3.25	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	٤
Hyde County Schools	18/24	Φ,	6.30	No (Yr1)	NA	N A	NA	N	NA	8
Ignite Innovation Academy	17/21	В	3.45	No (Yr1)	NA A	NA	N _A	NA	NA	8
Iredell – Statesville Schools	684/1516	В	3.48	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	N A	NA	N _A	*
Kestrel Heights Charter School	28/32	D	4.02	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
Lake Norman Charter School	21/22	¥	11.45	No (Yr1)	NA	N _A	NA	NA	NA	W
Lexington City Schools	54/85	В	4.59	No (Yr1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	W
Martin County Schools	213/253	В	4.80	No (Yr1)	NA	N _A	NA	NA	Ž	W

Vance Charter School Weldon City Schools Union Prep Academy Charter Voyager Schools Wilson County Wake County Academy Union Academy Schools Tyrell County Science Triad Math & County Schools Richmond County Schools New Hanover School Island Charter Mountain City Schools Mooresville Charter School Maureen Joy 6209/9707 857/9707 1337/1997 520/664 587/842 87/88 233/498 15/18 14/15 10/13 20/42 52/68 68/80 34/44 25/40 B B В \triangleright ≶ € В В В œ 8 ₿ В B B 13.95 3.19 3.79 3.01 16.00 3.40 4.07 4.82 3.92 4.67 3.07 3.66 3.49 3.26 4.61 No (Yr1) Yes Yes Yes Yes 5.19 3.00 6.33 NA NA NA NA NA NA N A Z N A NA NA 3.59 5.26 3.12 7.39 NA A NA NA NA NA NA N K N NA NA Yes Yes Yes NA NA NA Yes Z NA Z NA N NA NA NA Yes Yes Yes Yes NA NA NA NA Z Z NA NA NA NA A NA Yes ZA Yes Yes Yes NA NA NA NA NA K NA N/A NA NA 8 ٤ ٤ ٤ ٤ ٤ 8 ٤ ٤ ٤ 8 ٤ ٤ ٤ 8

RR - risk ratio

Disp. Rep - disproportionate representation

W – Warning

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native A – Asian B – Black or African American

H – Hispanic Latino

2018-19 Significant Disproportionality Warning List – Discipline (Data years are on a one-year lag)

8	NA	NA	N _A	NA	NA	No (Yr1)	3.22	В	23/31	Whiteville City Schools
٤	\$	NA	NA	NA	NA	No (Yr1)	3.05	œ	19/20	Weldon City Schools
8	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.77	3.29	Yes	3.17	В	1176/1968	Wake County Schools
¥	NA	NA	N _A	N _A	N A	No (Yr1)	3.41	В	18/30	Pamlico County Schools
8	Yes	Yes	Yes	3.97	3.16	Yes	3.17	В	50/54	Northampton County Schools
×	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No (Yr1)	3.72	В	10/10	Healthy Start Academy
8	NA	NA	N _A	NA	NA	No (Yr1)	5.60	В	34/41	Greene County Schools
8	NA	Yes	N _A	Ş	3.46	No (Yr2)	3.23	В	52/80	Columbus County Schools
W	NA	No	NA	NA	3.06	No (Yr2)	5.79	В	28/29	Bertie County Schools
8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No (Yr1)	3.00	B	65/99	Asheville City Schools
Status	2016-17 RR < 0.2 ↑ from 2015-16 RR	2017-18 RR <0.2 ↑ from 2016-17 RR	2017-18 RR ≤ 5.0	2015-16 RR	2016-17 RR	3 yrs w/ RR≥3.0 Disp Rep	2017- 18 RR ≥3.0	Race/ Ethnicity	2017 - 18 OSS 1-10 days (Cell ≥ 10)	
	consecutive 5.0 in current us 2 years)	Progress Made? (After 3 consecutive representation, yes in ≤ 5.0 in current .2 ↑ from each of previous 2 years)		Step 4 - Was Reasonable years w/disproportionate year and yes for RR < 0	Step 4 - years w/u year a	Step 3 (3 years w/Disp Rep)	Step 2 (Current year w/Disp. Rep)	ell size)	Step 1 (Cell size)	LEA
			L to 10 Days	ions (OSS) 1	ol Suspensi	Out-of-School Suspensions (OSS) 1 to 10				

Al – American Indian/Alaskan Native

B – Black or African AmericanH – Hispanic Latino