

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA
OP 21-0125

DOROTHY BRADLEY, BOB BROWN, MAE NAN ELLINGSON, VERNON
FINLEY, and the LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MONTANA,

Petitioners,

v.

GREG GIANFORTE, Governor of the State of Montana,

Respondent.

**MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE KURT KRUEGER
AND FOR OTHER MISCELLANEOUS RELIEF**

APPEARANCES:

AUSTIN KNUDSEN
Montana Attorney General
DEREK OESTREICHER
General Counsel
215 N. Sanders
P.O. Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401
Tel: (406) 444-2026
Fax: (406) 444-3549
derek.oestreicher@mt.gov

ANITA MILANOVICH
General Counsel
Office of the Montana Governor
P.O. Box 200801
Helena, MT 59620
Tel: (406) 444-5554
anita.milanovich@mt.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

A. CLIFFORD EDWARDS

Edwards & Culver

1648 Poly Drive, Suite 206

Billings, MT 59102

JAMES H. GOETZ

Goetz, Baldwin & Geddes, P.C.

P.O. Box 6580

35 North Grand Avenue

Bozeman, MT 59715

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

Pursuant to M. R. App. P., Rule 16, the Governor first respectfully moves for the immediate recusal or disqualification of Judge Kurt Krueger and any Montana judicial officer who “voted” on or expressed public approval or disapproval of Senate Bill 140 (SB 140), as described herein. Second, the Governor respectfully requests disclosure to the parties of the voting results of Montana Supreme Court Administrator McLaughlin’s poll regarding SB 140, described below. Third, the Governor respectfully moves for a stay of this proceeding until such time as the Court can seat an impartial and independent judicial panel to decide this case. Given the gravity of the present motions, for good cause shown, and pursuant to Rule 29(1), the Governor finally moves for leave to exceed the word count limitations of Rule 16(3). The word count of these combined Motions, including footnotes and attachments, is 2,376 words. Counsel for the Governor has made diligent efforts to contact Petitioners’ counsel regarding this motion, but as of the time of this filing Petitioners’ counsel has not stated whether they object.

INTRODUCTION

The United States Supreme Court has long held that due process requires, at minimum, an impartial judiciary. *United States v. Washington*, 157 F.3d 630, 660 (9th Cir. 1998) (quoting *Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc.*, 446 U.S. 238, 242 (1980) (“The Due Process Clause entitles a person to an impartial and disinterested tribunal in

both civil and criminal cases.’’)). Montana’s Code of Judicial Conduct (MCJC) prohibits judges from making statements on pending or impending cases that would impair or interfere with the fairness of that matter, Mont. R. Jud. Conduct, Rule 2.11, and compels recusal “in any proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned.” *Id.* at Rule 2.12. Judicial impartiality and fairness are in doubt, here.

On January 29, 2021, Montana Supreme Court Administrator Beth McLaughlin emailed *every* Montana Supreme Court Justice and *every* Montana District Court Judge—using government email accounts—to request that they “review and take a position on [Senate Bill 140] ... us[ing] the voting buttons (accept/reject) on your toolbar. If you can’t find the voting button, just shoot me a note.” Declaration of Derek J. Oestreicher, Exhibit A (hereinafter “Exhibit A”). In response, the Honorable District Judge Kurt Krueger—the judge the Chief Justice selected to replace him in this case following recusal—emailed: “I am also adamantly oppose [sic] [Senate Bill 140].” Such prejudgment of SB 140 reasonably demonstrates Judge Krueger’s partiality and bias, which requires his recusal or disqualification from this case. *See Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S.

868, 872 (2008) (requiring recusal where “the probability of actual bias on the part of the judge or decisionmaker is too high to be constitutionally tolerable.”).¹

Public confidence in the integrity of Montana’s judiciary relies on judicial impartiality and independence, free from impropriety and the appearance of impropriety. *See Williams-Yulee v. The Florida Bar*, 575 U.S. 433, 445 (2015) (quoting *Caperton*, 556 U.S. 868 at 889, 129 S. Ct. 2252 (“We have recognized the ‘vital state interest’ in safeguarding ‘public confidence in the fairness and integrity of the nation’s elected judges.’”). That confidence has been shaken. To restore public confidence in this adjudicatory process, the voting results of Administrator McLaughlin’s poll regarding SB 140 should be disclosed, and the Court should stay this matter until the Court seats an impartial judicial panel to decide this case.

BACKGROUND

On January 29, 2021, Administrator McLaughlin sent an email to every Montana Justice and Judge with the subject line “SB 140.” Exhibit A. The email stated:

¹ While it is currently unknown who among the state judicial officers “voted” in support or opposition to SB 140 using Administrator McLaughlin’s email toolbar, the following district court judges responded to Ms. McLaughlin’s invitation to take a position on the bill: Judge Elizabeth Best, Judge Katherine Bidegaray, Judge John Brown, Judge Matthew Cuffe, Judge David Cybulski, Judge Ray Dayton, Judge Dusty Deschamps, Judge Amy Eddy, Judge Brenda Gilbert, Judge Leslie Halligan, Judge Kurt Krueger, Judge Yvonne Laird, Judge Jennifer Lint, Judge James Manley, Judge Nickolas Murnion, Judge Jon Oldenburg, Judge Howard Recht, and Judge Robert Whelan.

Attached is a bill that Judge Todd has asked MJA [Montana Judges Association] to review and take a position on. Please take a look at it – sorry to do this to you *again* but use the voting buttons (accept/reject) on your toolbar. If you can't find the voting button, just shoot me a note.

Exhibit A (emphasis added). It is unclear from Administrator McLaughlin's email whether Montana's judiciary participated in other polls related to SB 140, or whether it normally participates in similar polls and dialogue related to other proposed laws. The email chain does not reveal the poll results, including whether judges or justices voted using the toolbar. But 18 District Court Judges responded to McLaughlin,² using their government email accounts, with opinions regarding SB 140. *See* Exhibit

A. That judicial commentary included:

- "I'm in opposition to the bill." – **Judge Leslie Halligan**
- "I can't find the button but I oppose." – **Judge Elizabeth Best**
- "Beth, I definitely oppose this bill." – **Judge John Brown**
- "I am opposed." – **Judge Robert Whelan**
- "I oppose." – **Judge David Cybulski**
- "I do not support this bill." – **Judge Amy Eddy**
- "I am not in favor of dissolving the JNC. However, I certainly think it can be overhauled to be less political and more objective." – **Judge Yvonne Laird**
- "I oppose this bill." – **Judge Dusty Deschamps**
- "I oppose this bill, but do not disagree that the process can be improved." – **Judge Jennifer Lint**
- "Having never been through the process, I must agree with those Judges that believe a through [sic] study is needed. I am against centering the appointment without public input. I am therefore

² Judge Matthew Cuffe did not express a position in his emailed response, but rather asked "[h]as there been any discussion as to why the change?"

- against this bill. These appointments cannot be political.” – **Judge Jon Oldenburg**
- “It sounds like this bill should be studied and reconsidered in two years. It does appear some improvements in the process may be advisable, but if the main concern is politicization, this bill goes in the other direction.” – **Judge James Manley**
 - “I am also adamantly oppose this bill.” – **Judge Kurt Krueger**
 - “I oppose.” – **Judge Ray Dayton**
 - “Beth, I am apposed [sic] to this bill. Brenda.” – **Judge Brenda Gilbert**
 - “I also adamantly oppose.” – **Judge Nickolas Murnion**
 - “I am not sure if this bill is the right fix, but having been through the process of being considered for a judicial appointment twice, in my opinion the current process of screenings by the judicial nomination commission needs to be overhauled.” – **Judge Howard Recht**
 - “I agree with Judges Recht and Laird.” – **Judge Katherine Bidegaray**

Exhibit A. Each email was sent using the “reply-all” feature. Thus, every Montana Judge and every Justice presumably observed their colleagues’ expressions and comments concerning SB 140.

LEGAL STANDARDS

Montana has declared that an independent, fair, and impartial judiciary is indispensable to its system of justice. MCJC, Preamble (2009) (cited by *French v. Jones*, 876 F.3d 1228, 1230 (9th Cir. 2017)). A judge is required to act at all times in a manner that promotes “public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.” MCJC 1.2. Further, a judge is prohibited from making “any public statement that might reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the

fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court, or make any nonpublic statement that might substantially interfere with a fair trial or hearing.” MCJC 2.11.

When engaging in extrajudicial activities, a judge shall not “participate in activities that would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge’s independence, integrity, or impartiality.” MCJC 3.1. “A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned.” MCJC 2.12.

“It is axiomatic that a fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process” under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. *Caperton*, 556 U.S. at 876. Montana’s Due Process Clause, *see* MONT. CONST. art. II, § 17, similarly is the “guiding principle of our legal system” and contemplates tenacious adherence “to the ideal that both sides of a lawsuit be guaranteed a fair trial.” *Lopez* 883. *v. Josephson*, 2001 MT 133, ¶ 35, 305 Mont. 446, 30 P.3d 326. A judge’s actual bias clearly violates due process. *See Caperton*, 556 U.S. at 883. But even absent actual bias, Judge Kreuger’s actions create a probability of bias that due process cannot tolerate. *See Caperton*, 556 U.S. at 877 (concluding that due process is implicated where “the probability of actual bias on the part of the judge or decisionmaker is too high to be constitutionally tolerable”).

ARGUMENT

Pursuant to MCJC Rules 1.2, 2.11, 2.12, and 3.11, Judge Krueger should recuse himself or be disqualified because he has made a public statement demonstrating actual bias that can reasonably be expected to affect the outcome of, and jeopardize the fairness of, this action. Rule 2.12 requires Judge Krueger's disqualification because his impartiality in this matter can be reasonably questioned. Even if, *arguendo*, Judge Krueger harbored no actual bias against SB 140 and endeavored to approach the issue fairly and impartially, the probability and appearance of bias created by his public statement would lead to the reasonable conclusion that he has prejudged this case.

I. The MCJC requires Judge Krueger to disqualify himself because of his bias and prejudgment of the issues involved in this case.

The MCJC requires a judge to act in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary. MCJC 1.2. To that end, a judge is expressly prohibited from making “any public statement that might reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court.” MCJC 2.11. Judges likewise are prohibited from participating “in activities that would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge’s independence, integrity, or impartiality.” MCJC 3.1(C). In any circumstance in which a judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned,

the judge “shall disqualify himself or herself.” MCJC 2.12. A judge’s unique authority and role within our constitutional system sometimes delimits her ability to opine publicly on matters likely to come before the judiciary.³

Judge Krueger’s continued participation in this case violates these judicial conduct rules. The same is true for Judge Krueger’s judicial colleagues who echoed his sentiments or voted to approve or disapprove SB 140. Judge Krueger’s statement that he “adamantly oppose[s] [Senate Bill 140]” can only be characterized as a biased prejudgment of the issues presented in this case. “Adamant” is defined as “utterly unyielding in attitude or opinion in spite of all appeals.”⁴ In other words, Judge Krueger has publicly stated that, in spite of all argument to the contrary, he is intractably decided on the issue of SB 140. He is biased and prejudiced against SB 140. His opposition is adamant. This type of prejudgment—expressed a mere two months before he was selected to replace the Chief Justice in this case—erodes public confidence in the impartiality and independence of the judiciary.

³ These types of restrictions on judicial speech are ubiquitous throughout the states and obviously satisfy any level of First Amendment scrutiny. *See French v. Jones*, 876 F.3d 1228, 1231 (9th Cir. 2017); *see also Republican Party of Minn. v. White*, 536 U.S. 765, 774 (2002). The U.S. Supreme Court has held that “protecting the integrity” of the judiciary and “maintaining the public’s confidence in an impartial judiciary” are compelling government interests. *Williams-Yulee*, 575 U.S. at 445; *see id.* at 447 (“[N]o one denies that [the concept of public confidence in judicial integrity] is genuine and compelling.”). To the extent MCJC Rules 1.2, 2.11, and 3.1 proscribe some judicial speech, those rules are narrowly tailored to further Montana’s compelling interest in preventing both actual and perceived judicial bias.

⁴ *See* Adamant, *DICTIONARY.COM*, <https://www.dictionary.com/browse/adamant>.

Judge Krueger’s statement and participation in this case directly violates MCJC Rules 1.2, 2.11, and 3.1, and demand his immediate recusal or disqualification.⁵

II. Due process requires Judge Krueger’s recusal because of the objective risk of actual bias and prejudice.

Judge Krueger’s public statement demonstrates actual bias, which is sufficient grounds for recusal or disqualification under the U.S. and Montana Constitutions. *See Caperton*, 556 U.S. at 883 (“[A]ctual bias, if disclosed, no doubt would be grounds for appropriate relief.”). Yet even without Exhibit A’s proof of actual bias, due process would nevertheless require his disqualification here because his actions have created a “probability of bias.” *Id.* at 884. Judge Krueger’s public statement is tantamount to a *guarantee* of actual bias, so it necessarily poses the *risk* of actual bias. Due process accordingly requires his recusal and disqualification.

CONCLUSION

Pursuant to the MCJC and the due process guarantees of the U.S. and Montana Constitutions, the Governor respectfully requests that Judge Krueger recuse himself or be disqualified from this case. The Governor also requests that any other Montana

⁵ Judge Krueger’s selection as the Chief Justice’s replacement raises other troubling questions under the judicial conduct rules. By the time of that selection, presumably every judicial officer in the state was aware of Judge Krueger’s strident opposition to SB 140. Respondent presumes that at least some of Judge Krueger’s colleagues took action under Rules 2.16(A) and (C) of the Montana Code of Judicial Conduct, but as of this date, any such remedial actions have not succeeded in recusing or disqualifying him from this case.

judicial officers who, like Judge Krueger, expressed approval or disapproval of SB 140 recuse themselves or be disqualified. The Governor requests that the Court produce to the parties the voting results of Administrator McLaughlin's poll regarding SB 140. The Governor moreover requests a stay until all the communications and poll results related to SB 140 are released to the parties and the panel is duly recomposed.⁶ And finally, the Governor requests leave under Rule 29(1), and for good cause shown, to exceed the word count limitations of Rule 16(3).

Respectfully,

Greg Gianforte
GOVERNOR OF MONTANA

Austin Knudsen
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA

/s/ Anita Milanovich
Anita Milanovich
General Counsel
Office of the Montana Governor
PO Box 200801
Helena, MT 59620
Anita.Milanovich@mt.gov
406.444.5554

/s/ Derek Oestreicher
Derek Oestreicher
General Counsel
Montana Department of Justice
215 N Sanders
Helena, MT 59601
Derek.Oestreicher@mt.gov
406.444.1953

⁶ The undersigned, having learned of the facts disclosed in Exhibit A, hereby aver that they have fulfilled their obligations under Rule 8.3(b) of the Montana Rules of Professional Conduct.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA
OP 21-0125

DOROTHY BRADLEY, BOB BROWN, MAE NAN ELLINGSON, VERNON
FINLEY, and the LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MONTANA,

Petitioners,

v.

GREG GIANFORTE, Governor of the State of Montana,

Respondent.

DECLARATION OF DEREK J. OESTREICHER

APPEARANCES:

AUSTIN KNUDSEN
Montana Attorney General
DEREK OESTREICHER
General Counsel
215 N. Sanders
P.O. Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401
Tel: (406) 444-2026
Fax: (406) 444-3549
derek.oestreicher@mt.gov

ANITA MILANOVICH
General Counsel
Office of the Montana Governor
P.O. Box 200801
Helena, MT 59620
Tel: (406) 444-5554
anita.milanovich@mt.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

A. CLIFFORD EDWARDS

Edwards & Culver

1648 Poly Drive, Suite 206

Billings, MT 59102

JAMES H. GOETZ

Goetz, Baldwin & Geddes, P.C.

P.O. Box 6580

35 North Grand Avenue

Bozeman, MT 59715

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

1. I am a licensed, practicing attorney in the State of Montana, and am currently employed by the Montana Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General.
2. Attached hereto as *Exhibit A* is a compilation of emails exchanged between Montana Supreme Court Administrator, Beth McLaughlin, and every Montana Supreme Court Justice and District Court Judge, utilizing government email accounts.
3. I am disclosing *Exhibit A* consistent with my ethical obligations under the Montana Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.3(b).
4. Pursuant to Rules 12(10) and 29(1), M. R. App. P., the extraordinary circumstances presented by *Exhibit A* justify the overlength combined motions and supporting argument filed herewith by the Governor.
5. I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of Montana that the foregoing is true and correct.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of April, 2021.

By: /s/ Derek J. Oestreicher
Derek J. Oestreicher

**DECLARATION OF
DEREK J. OESTREICHER
EXHIBIT A**

From: McLaughlin, Beth
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:27 AM
To: McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: SB 140
Attachments: LC1094 (1).pdf

Folks,

Attached is a bill that Judge Todd has asked MJA to review and take a position on. Please take a look at it – sorry to do this to you again but use the voting buttons (accept/reject) on your toolbar. If you can't find the voting button, just shoot me a note.

Thanks,

Beth McLaughlin
Supreme Court Administrator
406-841-2966

From: Halligan, Leslie
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 8:04 AM
To: Best, Elizabeth
Cc: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jori; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: Re: SB140

I'm in opposition to the bill.
Judge Halligan

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 29, 2021, at 7:39 AM, Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov> wrote:

I can't find the button but I oppose.

Elizabeth A. Best
District Court Judge
415 Second Ave. No., Room 203
Great Falls, MT 59401
Phone: 406.771.3950
Email: ebest@mt.gov
Zoom: <https://mt.gov.zoom.us/j/91958457110?pwd=O2FLUDRvNTdMcnFwVXhYV000dTBTZz09>

<image001.png>

From: Brown, John
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 9:06 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

Beth, I definitely oppose this bill.

From: McLaughlin, Beth <bmclaughlin@mt.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:27 AM
To: McGrath, Mike <mmcgrath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RAllison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>; Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dcybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <ddeschamps@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbbpc@aspenproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Knisely, Mary Jane <MKnisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkruieger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <Jennifer.Lint@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahon, Michael <Michael.McMahon@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MMenahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nickolas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUlbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew <Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whehan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>
Subject: SB140

Folks,

Attached is a bill that Judge Todd has asked MJA to review and take a position on. Please take a look at it – sorry to do this to you again but use the voting buttons (accept/reject) on your toolbar. If you can't find the voting button, just shoot me a note.

From: Whelan, Bob
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 10:18 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Krisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

Beth

I am opposed.

Hon. Robert J. Whelan
2nd Judicial District, Dept. 2

From: McLaughlin, Beth <bmclaughlin@mt.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:27 AM
To: McGrath, Mike <mmcgrath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RAllison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>; Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dcybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <ddeschamps@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbbpc@aspensproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Krisely, Mary Jane <MKrisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkrueger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <Jennifer.Lint@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahon, Michael <Michael.McMahon@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MManahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nickolas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUlbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew <Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whelan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>
Subject: SB140

From: Cybulski, David
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 10:29 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahan, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nicholas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I oppose.

Shouldn't this be something that is the product of several years of study and debate?

From: McLaughlin, Beth <bmclaughlin@mt.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:27 AM
To: McGrath, Mike <mmcgrath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RAllison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>; Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dcybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <ddeschamps@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbbpc@aspenproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Knisely, Mary Jane <MKnisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkrueger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <Jennifer.Lint@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahan, Michael <Michael.McMahon@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MMenahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nicholas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUlbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew <Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whelan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>
Subject: SB140

Folks,

From: Recht, Howard
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 8:05 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Sealey, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I am not sure if this bill is the right fix, but having been through the process of being considered for a judicial appointment twice, in my opinion the current process of screenings by the judicial nomination commission needs to be overhauled.

Ideally you would think the judicial nomination commission would make recommendations based upon objective criteria, ranking candidates by how they score in a matrix of accepted qualifications for a judicial position. You would think the commission would only pass along those candidates who scored above a certain base qualification score, and that the score of those who did score well enough to be recommended would go to the governor. You would also think that each member of the judicial nomination commission would be required to disclose her/his scoring for each candidate.

But that is not how it works. The commission does not conduct an independent investigation into the qualifications of candidates. The commission does not score candidates according to any disclosed objective criteria. No member is required to disclose why she/he votes a candidate up or down. A member of the commission can vote against a candidate based upon race, gender, religion, or perceived political affiliation. In my case (both times) I was grilled by certain commission members about my religion and little else. Although I was passed on to the governor both times, those commission members who voted against me were the same ones who grilled me about my religion.

In my opinion, this needs to change.

Howard F. Recht

From: Cuffe, Matthew
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:38 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagné; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

Has there been any discussion as to why the change?

Cuffe

Matthew J. Cuffe
District Court Judge

From: Best, Elizabeth
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:39 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randa; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I can't find the button but I oppose.

Elizabeth A. Best
District Court Judge
415 Second Ave. No., Room 203
Great Falls, MT 59401
Phone: 406.771.3950
Email: ebest@mt.gov
Zoom: <https://mt-gov.zoom.us/j/91958457110?pwd=Q2FLUDRvNTdMcnFwVXhYV000dTBtZz09>



From: Eddy, Amy
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 10:48 AM
To: Recht, Howard; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Krisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nicholas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Sealey, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randa; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: Re: SB140

All,

For almost 50 years, since the Montana Constitution was adopted, the Judicial Nomination Commission has been *the* only method provided by law for filling judicial vacancies. Its composition is balanced to maximize judicial competence, experience, and temperament. The individual members of the Commission evolve through new appointments by governors from both political parties, and different Supreme Court justices and district court judges.

It is my belief the JNC serves as an important insulating barrier from the Executive Branch that preserves the independence of the judiciary.

I have been through the JNC twice. Similar to Judge Recht, on the first time through I was asked inappropriate, in my mind, questions by the lay members--such as did my husband at the time approve of my application, and did I really think it was in the best interest of my children to move schools. I was also asked many sophisticated and nuanced questions about the law, public service and nonpartisanship. The JNC worked diligently to review applications and public comment, *conducted an independent investigation*, traveled to the local community to interview the candidates in a public forum, and then deliberated to a decision on the names to pass on to the Governor for appointment.

While undoubtedly messy at times, this process is the hallmark of a Constitution for the people. The JNC implements Article VII, Section 8 (2) of the Montana Constitution, as well as other Articles of the Montana Constitution that so clearly value public participation. A direct appointment to the Governor undermines this direct public participation and does not add any

transparency to the process. A better way may be to simply approach the JNC about its internal processes.

I do not support this bill.

Amy Eddy

From: Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>

Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 8:05 AM

To: McLaughlin, Beth <bmclaughlin@mt.gov>; McGrath, Mike <mmcgrath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RALlison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>; Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dcybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <ddeschamps@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbbpc@aspenproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Knisely, Mary Jane <MKnisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkruieger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <Jennifer.Lint@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahon, Michael <Michael.McMahon@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MMenahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nickolas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUlbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew <Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whelan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>

Subject: RE: SB140

I am not sure if this bill is the right fix, but having been through the process of being considered for a judicial appointment twice, in my opinion the current process of screenings by the judicial nomination commission needs to be overhauled.

Ideally you would think the judicial nomination commission would make recommendations based upon objective criteria, ranking candidates by how they score in a matrix of accepted qualifications for a judicial position. You would think the commission would only pass along those candidates who scored above a certain base qualification score, and that the score of those who did score well enough to be recommended would go to the governor. You would also think that each member of the judicial nomination commission would be required to disclose her/his scoring for each candidate.

But that is not how it works. The commission does not conduct an independent investigation into the qualifications of candidates. The commission does not score candidates according to any disclosed objective criteria. No member is required to disclose why she/he votes a candidate up or down. A member of the commission can vote against a candidate based upon race, gender, religion, or perceived political affiliation. In my case (both times) I was grilled by

certain commission members about my religion and little else. Although I was passed on to the governor both times, those commission members who voted against me were the same ones who grilled me about my religion.

In my opinion, this needs to change.

Howard F. Recht

From: McLaughlin, Beth <bmclaughlin@mt.gov>

Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:27 AM

To: McGrath, Mike <mmcgrath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RAllison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>; Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dscybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <d-deschamps@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbhpc@aspenproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Knisely, Mary Jane <MKnisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkrueger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <Jennifer.Lint@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahan, Michael <Michael.McMahan@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MMenahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nicholas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUlbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew <Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whelan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>

Subject: SB140

Folks,

Attached is a bill that Judge Todd has asked MJA to review and take a position on. Please take a look at it – sorry to do this to you again but use the voting buttons (accept/reject) on your toolbar. If you can't find the voting button, just shoot me a note.

Thanks,

Beth McLaughlin
Supreme Court Administrator
406-841-2966

From: Best, Elizabeth
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Eddy, Amy; Recht, Howard; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randa; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I agree with Judge Eddy. My observation of the Commission has been that each member has worked extremely hard to find well qualified judges. It is a constitutional body which is one of the few that gives voice to the people before politics come into play. This is in contrast to the federal model, which gives lip service to a citizens' commission and then disregards its recommendations.

Elizabeth A. Best
District Court Judge
415 Second Ave. No., Room 203
Great Falls, MT 59401
Phone: 406.771.3950
Email: ebest@mt.gov
Zoom: <https://mt-gov.zoom.us/j/91958457110?pwd=Q2FLUDRvNTdMcwFwVXhYV000dTBTZz09>



From: Laird, Yvonne
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 11:03 AM
To: Eddy, Amy; Recht, Howard; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Seefey, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydeé; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I am not in favor of dissolving the JNC. However, I certainly think it can be overhauled to be less political and more objective. It has been my experience and my observation that the JNC while not tied directly to the executive branch, certainly is political. This has resulted in very well qualified people not getting their names forwarded to the governor for consideration and names going forward that should not. My bottom line is, the JNC is not working as contemplated by the Montana Constitution and a hard look needs to be taken as to how it should continue to operate.

From: Bidegaray, Katherine
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 11:22 AM
To: Laird, Yvonne; Eddy, Amy; Recht, Howard; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: Re: SB140

I agree with Judges Recht and Laird.

From: Manley, James
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 11:49 AM
To: Bidegaray, Katherine; Laird, Yvonne; Eddy, Amy; Recht, Howard; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nicholas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan.
Subject: RE: SB140

It sounds like this bill should be studied and reconsidered in two years. It does appear some improvements in the process may be advisable, but if the main concern is politicization, this bill goes the other direction.

From: Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 11:22 AM
To: Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>; McLaughlin, Beth <bmclaughlin@mt.gov>; McGrath, Mike <mmcgrath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RAllison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dscybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <dideschamps@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbhpc@aspennproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Knisely, Mary Jane <MKnisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkrueger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <Jennifer.Lint@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahon, Michael <Michael.McMahon@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MMenahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nicholas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUIbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew <Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whelan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>
Subject: Re: SB140

I agree with Judges Recht and Laird.

From: Deschamps, Dusty
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 1:52 PM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Krisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I oppose this bill. Apart from being unconstitutional in violation of Mont. Const. Art. VII, 58(2) which requires a nomination commission, it requires at least 70 days or more, which is too long in some circumstances, and as others have noted would make the judicial selection process overtly political. As Judges Recht and Laird have pointed out, the current process may need some reform, but this bill will not accomplish that objective.

From: Lint, Jennifer
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 3:57 PM
To: Recht, Howard; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Krisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I oppose the Bill, but do not disagree that the process can be improved. I concur with the comments about the import of citizen input, and loathe to place the power of review of potential judges in one person's hands.

However, as someone who heard some of the questioning of Judge Recht in person, and then also reported to me by completely appalled members of the local bar who sat in the hearings, many of the questions asked Judge Recht were way out of bounds. Sounds like Judge Eddy suffered the same. While I did not get posed offensive questions, many were smarmy and delivered in a demeaning manner. I also got many excellent, probative questions, and sound advice (Judge John Brown saying, "you have to decide, are you prepared to do that"). Bottom line is the inappropriate, baseless, offensive and argumentative questioning came from the non-attorney and non-judge members. Putting in for a judge position shouldn't be that you've now consented to being a punching bag and insulted, and you just smile and take it.

(stepping off soap box now)

Jennifer

From: Oldenburg, Jon
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 6:16 PM
To: Lint, Jennifer; Recht, Howard; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

Having never been through the process, I must agree with those Judges that believe a through study is needed. I am against centering the appointment without public input. I am therefore against this bill. These appointments cannot be political.

Sent from Workspace ONE Boxer

From: Krueger, Kurt
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 3:38 PM
To: Brown, John; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Knisely, Mary Jane; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I am also adamantly oppose this bill.

Kurt Krueger
District Court Judge
Butte, MT

From: Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 9:06 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth <bmlaughlin@mt.gov>; McGrath, Mike <mmcgrath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RAllison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dcybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <ddeschamps@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbpc@aspenproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Knisely, Mary Jane <MKnisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkrueger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <Jennifer.Lint@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahon, Michael <Michael.McMahon@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MMenahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nickolas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUIbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew

<Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whelan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>
Subject: RE: SB140

Beth, I definitely oppose this bill.

From: McLaughlin, Beth <bmclaughlin@mt.gov>

Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 7:27 AM

To: McGrath, Mike <mcmcerath@mt.gov>; Rice, Jim <jrice@mt.gov>; McKinnon, Laurie <LMcKinnon@mt.gov>; Baker, Beth <bbaker@mt.gov>; Shea, Jim <JimShea@mt.gov>; Sandefur, Dirk <dsandefur@mt.gov>; Gustafson, Ingrid <IGustafson@mt.gov>; Abbott, Chris <Chris.Abbott@mt.gov>; Allison, Robert <RAllison@mt.gov>; Berger, Luke <Luke.Berger@mt.gov>; Best, Elizabeth <EBest@mt.gov>; Bidegaray, Katherine <kbidegaray@mt.gov>; Brown, John <JBrown3@mt.gov>; Brown, Stephen <Stephen.Brown@mt.gov>; Christopher, Deborah <dchristopher@mt.gov>; Cuffe, Matthew <Matthew.Cuffe@mt.gov>; Cybulski, David <dcybulski@mt.gov>; Davies, Colette <Colette.Davies@mt.gov>; Dayton, Ray <RDayton@mt.gov>; Deschamps, Dusty <d-deschamps@mt.gov>; Eddy, Amy <AEddy@mt.gov>; Fehr, Jessica <Jessica.Fehr@mt.gov>; Gilbert, Brenda <BGilbert@mt.gov>; Halligan, Leslie <LHalligan@mt.gov>; Harada, Ashley <Ashley.Harada@mt.gov>; Harris, Donald <Donald.Harris@mt.gov>; Hayworth, Michael <MHayworth@mt.gov>; Judge Holly Brown <hbbspc@aspenproof.com>; Judge Mike Salvagni <msalvagni@aol.com>; Knisely, Mary Jane <MKnisely@mt.gov>; Krueger, Kurt <kkrueger@mt.gov>; Kutzman, John <JKutzman@mt.gov>; Laird, Yvonne <YLaird@mt.gov>; Larson, John <johlarson@mt.gov>; Levine, Michele <Michele.Levine@mt.gov>; Lint, Jennifer <JLaird@mt.gov>; Manley, James <JManley@mt.gov>; Marks, Jason <Jason.Marks@mt.gov>; McElyea, Rienne <Rienne.McElyea@mt.gov>; McElyea, Russ <RMcElyea@mt.gov>; McMahon, Michael <Michael.McMahon@mt.gov>; Menahan, Michael <MMenahan@mt.gov>; Moses, Michael <MMoses@mt.gov>; Murnion, Nickolas <NMurnion@mt.gov>; Ohman, Peter <Peter.Ohman@mt.gov>; Oldenburg, Jon <JOldenburg@mt.gov>; Olson, Robert <ROlson3@mt.gov>; Parker, John <John.Parker@mt.gov>; Recht, Howard <Howard.Recht@mt.gov>; Rieger, Olivia <Olivia.Rieger@mt.gov>; Seeley, Kathy <KSeeley@mt.gov>; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee <KSnipesRuiz@mt.gov>; Souza, Rodney <RSouza@mt.gov>; Spaulding, Randal <rspaulding@mt.gov>; Todd, Gregory <GTodd@mt.gov>; Ulbricht, Heidi <HUlbricht@mt.gov>; Vannatta, Shane <Shane.Vannatta@mt.gov>; Wald, Matthew <Matt.Wald@mt.gov>; Whelan, Bob <Robert.Whelan@mt.gov>; Wilson, Dan <Daniel.Wilson@mt.gov>

Subject: SB140

Folks,

Attached is a bill that Judge Todd has asked MJA to review and take a position on. Please take a look at it – sorry to do this to you again but use the voting buttons (accept/reject) on your toolbar. If you can't find the voting button, just shoot me a note.

Thanks,

Beth McLaughlin
Supreme Court Administrator
406-841-2966

From: Dayton, Ray
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 7:01 AM
To: Olson, Robert; Whelan, Bob; McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Kniseley, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randa; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I oppose.

Ray J. Dayton
District Judge
3rd Judicial District Court
800 S. Main
Anaconda, MT 59711
406-563-4044



From: Gilbert, Brenda
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:35 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagni; Krisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Murnion, Nickolas; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

Beth, I am apposed to this bill. Brenda

From: Murnion, Nickolas
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:39 AM
To: McLaughlin, Beth; McGrath, Mike; Rice, Jim; McKinnon, Laurie; Baker, Beth; Shea, Jim; Sandefur, Dirk; Gustafson, Ingrid; Abbott, Chris; Allison, Robert; Berger, Luke; Best, Elizabeth; Bidegaray, Katherine; Brown, John; Brown, Stephen; Christopher, Deborah; Cuffe, Matthew; Cybulski, David; Davies, Colette; Dayton, Ray; Deschamps, Dusty; Eddy, Amy; Fehr, Jessica; Gilbert, Brenda; Halligan, Leslie; Harada, Ashley; Harris, Donald; Hayworth, Michael; Judge Holly Brown; Judge Mike Salvagné; Knisely, Mary Jane; Krueger, Kurt; Kutzman, John; Laird, Yvonne; Larson, John; Levine, Michele; Lint, Jennifer; Manley, James; Marks, Jason; McElyea, Rienne; McElyea, Russ; McMahon, Michael; Menahan, Michael; Moses, Michael; Ohman, Peter; Oldenburg, Jon; Olson, Robert; Parker, John; Recht, Howard; Rieger, Olivia; Seeley, Kathy; Snipes Ruiz, Kaydee; Souza, Rodney; Spaulding, Randal; Todd, Gregory; Ulbricht, Heidi; Vannatta, Shane; Wald, Matthew; Whelan, Bob; Wilson, Dan
Subject: RE: SB140

I also adamantly oppose.